

**SECRET**  
**DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE**

<b>SUBJECT OF DOCUMENT</b>		<b>DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION</b>			
[ ] Nov 57 Progress Report		RI FILE NO.			
		DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.		DATE	
		SOURCE CRYPTONYM	DATE OF INFO.	EVALUATION	
ANALYST	DATE PROCESSED	DISSEMINATED IN		DATE	
<b>DOCUMENT DISPOSITION</b>					
CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE		TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.		FORM 29958	
<b>PERTINENT INFORMATION</b>					
<p align="right">9 December 1957</p> <p align="center">See Attachment re <u>ARCAPLIN-1</u></p> <p align="right">DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2008</p> <p align="center"><b>SECRET</b> per US [redacted]</p>					
FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.		<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;">➔</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div>			

that he expects Ernst C. SCHEPKY's help in getting the article, or something similar to it, into German print. For details, see paragraph 1.e., Attachment B, paragraph 1 and 1.b., Attachment C, and paragraph 1.e., Attachment D.

g. As can be seen in Attachment D, Subject compiled some items of information for his next SW message (SW No. 4). The information about contained therein will not be sent in view of the recent letter sent to Taras SINGIV which indicates that [ ] may reappear on the scene. Here, it was felt that the type of information suggested by Subject might dissuade the R/S from dispatching [ ] again, having learned from a source such as Subject that the local Ukrainians are on to

h. An interesting note in the above mentioned proposed text is that pertaining to ABCAPHELIN-1 and the letter his girl friend received from an anonymous sender. As pointed out in paragraphs 2 and 3, Attachment B, ABCAPHELIN-1 strongly suspects Subject of having written the letter. This could possibly be the case, however, the fact that Subject intends to pass this information to the R/S seems to eliminate him as a suspect. ABCAPHELIN-1 contact report No. 53 contains his analysis of this matter, and Henry D. Philabam expects to come up with more on this mystery in the near future.

2. Other:

An additional bonus of 500 DM was given Subject (actually put into escrow for him) for having visited Soviet controlled territory in September 1957, bringing the total sum of the bonus to 3,000 DM. See paragraph 4, Attachment D.

3. Attachments:

Transmitted herewith as Attachments A through D are contact reports numbered 59 through 62 of meetings held with Subject in November 1957.

Approved: [ ]

Attachments: FIVE WITH:

A-D, Contact Reports 59-62

Distribution:

3 - GR w/stts  
2 - EE w/stts  
2 - GDS/O w/stts

OPERATIONAL MATTERS AND COMMENTS:

1. Purpose of this meeting was to pay Subject his November salary and to discuss the next SW message to be sent to the KIS. Subject suggested the following be included in the next SW message:

a. Mykola \*CHEBOTARIY (DOB: 24 Oct 1884; POB: Poltava, Ukraine) informed Subject that Vasyi MYCH, author of an anti-CUN brochure now living in New York City, is to be deported to Germany as the result of the derogatory and damaging reports about him given to American authorities by Ukrainian nationalists.

b. ABCAPKIN has a German girlfriend by the name of "MAIER". Subject does not know her true name. In about the middle of November, MAIER received an anonymous typewritten letter advising her to break her friendship with ABCAPKIN I because something terrible is about to happen to ABCAPKIN I. ABCAPKIN I reported this to the German police who, after investigating the matter, informed him they knew the owner of the typewriter which was used to write the letter to MAIER. The Germans believe the matter to be of a political nature. Further about ABCAPKIN I - he maintains contact with Volodymyr \*KOZAK (DOB: 1 August 1907; POB: Galicia, Poland) in a conspiratorial sort of way.

c. According to rumors by BANDERA people, Volodymyr KOZAK, Evhen HARABACK and Atanas FIGOL bought their gasoline station with funds originally solicited for the purpose of publishing the journal Molode Syttia. The BANDERA people also think the fourth partner in the gasoline station is ABCAPKIN I, who is reported to have put up a portion of the money.

d. Subject rarely meets with Jakob \*HANOVIETSKYI (DOB: approx. 1912; POB: Galicia). For about a half year now he has been charged with intelligence matters for OUN/MELNYK. He is a good friend of Omyr BOIDUNYK. He works as a chauffeur for the prelate of the Greek Catholic church, Petro \*BOLYNSKYI (DOB: ca 1892; POB: Peremyahl, Ukraine), and because of this position, has access to information about the Vatican's views on Ukrainian politics.

e. Per KIS instruction, Subject attempted to have an article published through EKD (about Mikola \*LIVITSKYI (DOB: 27 Dec 1906; POB: Smeryaka, Ukraine) but the EKD refused to accept the article. The Ost West Kurier in Frankfurt also turned the article down. Subject then asked Ernst U. SCHNEPKY to help him in this matter and was promised positive results. Subject will report of Mikola LIVITSKYI's visit to Bonn in a later message.

f. The BANDERA people have rumored that [redacted], former restaurant owner in Munich, was a Soviet agent among local Ukrainians, and that he always had a lot of money and was working on Ivan KASHUBA. [redacted] has

Contact Report #62

ATT: to DEMA-2000

been absent from Munich for some time now and the BANDERA people think he fled to the East Zone.

2. In commenting about the AEGAPELIN I matter mentioned in paragraph 1 a, above, Subject said he noticed that AEGAPELIN I had acted very strange lately, very much like a person with serious problems on his mind, and finally, on 23 November, confided in him the story of the letter, the police involvement, etc. After hearing AEGAPELIN I's story, Subject said he asked AEGAPELIN II about it, thinking perhaps AEGAPELIN II was the one who wrote the letter as he is not very fond of AEGAPELIN I's girlfriend. AEGAPELIN II, however, denied having written the letter although he admitted he would like to see AEGAPELIN I break off with "WALTER".

3. While AEGAPELIN I thinks Subject and/or AEGAPELIN II may have written the letter (see AEGAPELIN I contact report No. 53), it seems doubtful whether Subject had anything to do with it. The fact that Subject intends to report the episode concerning the letter to the KIS points to his innocence. He probably must be given credit for having better sense than to participate in such a prank, at least for having sense enough not to report a prank as an item of information in the event he did get involved in such a thing.

4. When the undersigned paid Subject his salary for November 1957, he told him headquarters received the report of his trip to the Soviet Union in September with great enthusiasm, in fact, headquarters was so pleased that they authorized an additional bonus of 500 DM to be put into escrow for him. This gesture was well appreciated by Subject. He shook hands with the undersigned and asked that his thanks be extended to the "chiefs" back home.

5. The next meeting with Subject was scheduled for 3 December when SW No. 4 will be written.